DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND NATURAL RESOURCES & TYSAM TECH LLC

GREAD DONNOR RESTORATION DESIGN WORKSHOP





 \bigcirc

UNIVERSITY OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS UVI MEDICAL SIMULATION CENTER -DINING ROOM

Please join us to review and discuss various restoration design scenarios!

Share your questions, comments and concerns on multiple design sketches.

ALL ARE WELCOMED!LIGHT REFRESHMENTS WILL BE SERVED!









Together we can protect and enhance

our Virgin Islands.

GREAT POND CHARRETTE

FEB-MAR

PLANNING

Incorporation of public comments and concerns into a design approach.

Completion of technical review and field surveys to feed into design approach.

APRIL SOCIAL EVENT

Documentation of interviews and testimonials for Social Event @ DPNR-EEMP/Great Pond -April 12, 2024 4:30pm - 7:00pm

APRIL-MAY ENGINEERING

Engineering Team finalizes design of proposed solutions. Preparation of design drawings to show project scope & prepare for permits.

FEBRUARY KICK-OFF MEETING

Public kick-off meeting @ UVI Great Hall -February 28, 2024

MARCH CHARRETTE

Interviews with stakeholders Great Pond Restoration and Design Charrette @ UVI Medical Simulation Center - Dining Hall March 21, 2024 3:30pm - 8:30pm March 22, 2024 10:00am - 3:00pm

APRIL RESTORATION ACTION PLAN

Incorporate all tech surveys, documents, and stakeholder input to choose engineering design options for creation of Restoration Action Plan (RAP).

MEET THE TEAM - DPNR



Marlon Hibbert

Director, Division of Coastal Zone Management, DPNR



Hilary Lohmann

Coastal Resilience Coordinator, Coastal Zone Management, DPNR



Kelcie Troutman

East End Marine Park Coordinator, Coastal Zone Management, DPNR

MEET THE TEAM - TYSAM TECH



Trinity Austrie

Director of Environmental Services

Shamoy Bideau

Marine Biologist Project Coordinator

Benjamin Keularts

Environmental Engineer Project Manager



Henry Tonnemacher

Marine Biologist



The GreenPiece

Engineering and Surveying

Montrose Environmental

Engineering and Hydraulic & Hydrologic Modeling

AUDIENCE INTRODUCTIONS

• What is your motivation for being present here today?



OBJECTIVES FOR TODAY

This **Restoration Design Charrette** (RDC) includes objectives to: (1) review drafted technical restoration designs and consider the benefits and challenges for each design scenario, (2) evaluate an approach to each scenario to guide the development of a **Restoration Action Plan** (RAP), and (3) evaluate the efficacy of each scenario to restore or improve Great Pond's potential as a reef fish nursery habitat.



GREAT POND OVERVIEW

IMPORTANCE OF GREAT POND

- Designated Area of Particular Concern (APC) in 1979
- Functions as a fish nursery to commercially important species and other aquatic species
- Exists as part of the natural infrastructure of St. Croix
- Serves as a sediment sink for runoff
- Provides a flood buffer for nearby communities



Great Pond, 1954



1954 USGS Satellite Imagery

Great Pond, 1972



Great Pond, 1992

USGS Satellite Imagery

Great Pond, 1999

Photo: USGS Satellite Imagery



EFFECTS OF MAJOR WEATHER EVENTS



Post-Hurricane Maria, 2017



Runoff from Union and Mount Washington

Flood map of Great Pond Watershed (including Guts and Ponds)



Pond adjacent to Lowry Hill road, March 2024



Pond in Estate Marienhoj, March 2024



Pond in Estate Sight, March 2024



Pond in Estate Hartman, March 2024 – (I of 2)



Pond in Estate Hartman, March 2024 – (2 of 2)



IMPACTS TO GREAT POND TODAY



Land Development Changes to land cover/land use



Commercial Development Pollution, runoff & increased volume



Solid Waste Disposal Illegal dumping



Sargassum Reduced water quality, mouth blockage



Major Storm Events Short, high intensity changes



Climate Change Drought, sea level rise, shore erosion

MARINE AND TERRESTRIAL IMPACTS

RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN FISH SPECIES AND RED MANGROVES



Protection from predators by way of mangrove prop roots



Provision of critical food sources such as crustaceans and algae



Active breeding grounds for commercially important fish species

Sources: (Laegdsgaard & Johnson, 2001), (Fry and Ewel, 2003; Layman, 2007), (Tobias et al., 2001; Tobias et al., 1996)

IMPORTANT FISH SPECIES



Great Barracuda



Mangrove Snapper



Grunt species



White Mullet

Other fish species and aquatic organisms:

- Common snook
- Yellowfin mojarra
- Damselfishes
- Wrasses
- Ballyhoo
- Shrimp
- Lobsters
- Blue crabs
- Upside down Jellies

COMPOUNDING STRESSORS TO GREAT POND'S FISH HABITAT



Mangrove presence

Appeared after Hurricane Hugo

Creates reduced temperature variability at sites with tree canopies

Decaying plant material causes decreased dissolved oxygen (DO)



Invasive species expansion

Tilapia introduced as part of an initiative to establish commercial and recreational fish populations (mid-1960's)

Outcompete native species for food and habitat resources



Salinity variation

Increases with reduced water flow through channel and decreased depth Exasperated by droughts in 2015 and 2018

DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS

Tidal flushing is the repeated movement of water in and out of a shoreline connected body of water. It helps to remove pollutants, excess nutrients, and stagnant water, maintaining water quality and supporting biodiversity. Great Pond has historically been an open or partially open lagoon. Restoring tidal flush could involve:

- Clearing the mouth of the pond by removal of dead tree material, etc.
- Evaluating sediment transport routes through Great Pond Bay
- 3. Reinforcing the mouth of the pond with a rock spillway such as a gabion reno mattress to maintain a stable spillway elevation over time.



The primary option for restoring tidal flush to the Great Pond is through a dredging and widening of the mouth and increasing the tidal prism.

This will be accomplished by both the traditional route via the current mouth pathway, as well as dredging the baymouth bar at its most direct route.

The spillway elevation would be set at an elevation that would introduce the appropriate amount of sea water to Great Pond.



Based on final hydraulic estimates, a mouth profile can be designed, along with stabilization. The solid structure spillway would be set at an elevation that would introduce an amount of sea water to Great Pond that would sustain conditions for fish nursery habitat.





DESIGN OPTION 2: MEDIUM-SCALE SCENARIOS GUT RE-ROUTING

Several medium-scale design scenarios have been evaluated for Great Pond. Taking into account the project size, cost, and complexity, there are 3 viable candidates as a potential medium-scale project.

I. Re-route the gut on west of great pond to great pond

Western portion of watershed drains via drainage swale directly to coast. A re-routing of this drainage swale into the Great Pond will allow for increased input of fresh water, providing better flushing and combatting hypersaline conditions.





Figure 5.18 Example Grass Channel (from RIDEM and CRMC, 2015 – Adapted from MDE, 2000)

DESIGN OPTION 2: MEDIUM-SCALE SCENARIOS VEGETATED SEDIMENT FOREBAY

2. Install a sediment forebay on the north side of Great Pond with option to plant mangroves/mangrove associates along the pond border to help with sediment trapping before entering the pond.

- Capture of both water and sediment from the primary guts traveling from the center of the watershed.
- Design allows for both capture of sediment from a large portion of the watershed and design for easier maintenance and sediment removal.





DESIGN OPTION 2: MEDIUM-SCALE SCENARIOS EASTERN SEDIMENT POND

- 3. Install a sediment pond/forebay on the East side of Great Pond to capture Union & Mt. Washington sediment runoff and water, with controlled release.
- Capture of both water and sediment from the heavily developed areas of the watershed.
- Design allows for capture of this sediment loading increase, controlled release of water, and easier maintenance.







Figure 5.19 Example Sediment Forebay profile

DESIGN OPTION 3: SMALL-SCALE SCENARIOS EXISTING POND REHABILITATION

There are two potential small-scale design scenarios that can provide mitigation against negative impact to Great Pond on a lower level. Low level scenarios may be easy to implement, have lower cost, or minimal concerns for greater environmental impact.

 Rehabilitation of existing sediment retention ponds (deepen, add stabilizing rocks and riprap).



- Allows for restoration of existing management structures, with minimal changes to existing land contours.
- Some ponds may need only dredging, some could benefit from additional stabilization to improve future maintenance.



DESIGN OPTION 3: SMALL-SCALE SCENARIOS ROAD DRAINAGE SWALES

2. Installation of drainage swale on road shoulder to direct, slow and control discharge of water to pond.

- Swales, to include check dams and routing points, will allow for slower moving water to prevent sediment transport, and improve sediment capture.
- Can be use in conjunction with previously mentioned Eastern pond/forebay to control and capture water for increased sediment retention.





DESIGN OPTION 4: DO NOTHING SCENARIO

- A final considered scenario where no design action is implemented
- Do nothing considers risk and challenges that come with the other design scenarios
- Weight of benefits vs. risks/challenges
- Consideration of potential future trends
- Determine if no action should be taken now, but consideration for future action, after some triggering milestone is reached
- Consideration if Great Pond has capacity to improve on its own

Currently opened mouth of pond after Feb. 2024 rain event



DESIGN SCENARIOS RECAP

I:Large Scale	2: Medium Scale	3: Small Scale	4: Do Nothing
<text></text>	 Re-route the gut on west of great pond to great pond Add new pond(s) to ease runoff from Union and Mount Washington, leading to sediment retention, controlled waterflow, and increased fresh water to Great Pond Install a sediment basin on the north side of Great Pond and plant mangroves / mangrove associates along the pond border to help with sediment trapping before entering the pond 	<text><image/><list-item></list-item></text>	 No intervening actions to be taken Leave Great Pond in its current adapted ecological state State State State

BREAK OUT GROUP ACTIVITY INSTRUCTIONS



BREAK OUT GROUP ACTIVITY INSTRUCTIONS CONT'D

Aesthetics Assessment: Discuss the visual **Functionality Assessment:** impact of each design concept on the pond Evaluate how well each • **Feasibility Assessment:** and its surrounding design concept meets landscape. the functional Assess the technical, Consider how the requirements of the **Introduction:** regulatory, and proposed solutions financial feasibility of project. Introduce integrate with the each design concept. yourselves/background. natural environment and Consider the ٠ enhance the site's effectiveness of Identify potential Designate a note-taker aesthetic appeal. proposed flow challenges and and presenter Explore opportunities regulation infrastructure opportunities for (speaker) for your to incorporate elements in achieving these implementation. group. of beauty and harmony objectives.

into the design.

Recap objectives.

ACTIVITY WORKSHEET EXAMPLE

OPTION 1 Large Scale Scenario: Restore Tidal Flushing ASSESSMENT				
FEASIBILITY: Can this work?	FUNCTIONALITY: WHAT KEEPS THIS WORKING?	AESTHETICS: How should this look?		
1)Technical Considerations	1)Water Exchange			
2) Regulatory Considerations	2)Ecological Balance			
3) Cost Considerations				
4) Easement Considerations	3)Resiliency Assurance			
		Tysam Tech, LLC		

The primary option for restoring tidal flush to the Great Pond is through a dredging and widening of the mouth and increasing the tidal prism.

This will be accomplished by both the traditional route via the current mouth pathway, as well as dredging the baymouth bar at its most direct route.

The spillway elevation would be set at an elevation that would introduce the appropriate amount of sea water to Great Pond.



DESIGN OPTION 2: MEDIUM-SCALE SCENARIOS <u>3 CANDIDATES</u>

Several medium-scale design scenarios have been evaluated for Great Pond. Taking into account the project size, cost, and complexity, there are 3 viable candidates as a potential medium-scale project.

- I. Re-route the gut on west of great pond to great pond
- 2. Add new pond(s) to ease runoff from Union and Mount Washington, leading to sediment retention, controlled waterflow, and increased fresh water to Great Pond
- 3. Install a sediment basin on the north side of Great Pond and plant mangroves / mangrove associates along the pond border to help with sediment trapping before entering the pond



DESIGN OPTION 3: SMALL-SCALE SCENARIOS <u>2 CANDIDATES</u>

There are two potential small-scale design scenarios that can provide mitigation against negative impact to Great Pond on a lower level. Low level scenarios may be easy to implement, have lower cost, or minimal concerns for greater environmental impact.

- Rehabilitation of existing sediment retention ponds (deepen, add stabilizing rocks and riprap)
- 2. Add drainage swales/dip cuts along roads to allow water to correctly route into the pond







DESIGN OPTION 4: DO NOTHING SCENARIO

A final considered scenario where no design action is implemented

- Do nothing considers risk and challenges that come with the other design scenarios
- Weight of benefits vs. risks/challenges
- Consideration of potential future trends
- Determine if no action should be taken now, but consideration for future action, after some triggering milestone is reached
- Consideration if Great Pond has capacity to improve on its own

Currently opened mouth of pond after Feb. 2024 rain event



AGENDA: DAY 2 March 22, 2024

Timing	Торіс
10:00 – 10:15 am	Welcome, Introductions and Agenda
10:15 – 10:45 am	Objectives, Project Overview and Engineering Design Summary
10:45 – 11:15 am	Food Break
11:15 – 12:00 pm	Group Discussion I: "Large-scale Scenario"
12:00 – 12:30pm	Group Discussion 2: "Medium-scale Scenario"
12:30 – 12:40 pm	Break
12:40 – 1:10 pm	Group Discussion 3: "Small-scale Scenario"
I:10 – I:40 pm	Group Discussion 4: "Do Nothing Scenario"
1:40 – 1:50 pm	Break
1:50 to 2:50 pm	Group Presentation Prep, Presentation and Voting
3:25 – 3:30 pm	Closeout, Final Statements, and Charette Evaluation

BREAK OUT GROUP PRESENTATION INSTRUCTIONS

Presentation Prep:

- Review activity sheets.
- Confirm all group members' thoughts are considered.

Final Presentation:

- Each group will present on the design option correlating to their group number to the larger group.
- The other groups will provide input and feedback not captured by the presenting group.

GROUP VOTING (BY SHOW OF HANDS)



GREAT POND CHARRETTE

FEB-MAR

PLANNING

Incorporation of public comments and concerns into a design approach.

Completion of technical review and field surveys to feed into design approach.

APRIL SOCIAL EVENT

Documentation of interviews and testimonials for Social Event @ DPNR-EEMP/Great Pond -April 12, 2024 4:30pm - 7:00pm

APRIL-MAY ENGINEERING

Engineering Team finalizes design of proposed solutions. Preparation of design drawings to show project scope & prepare for permits.

FEBRUARY KICK-OFF MEETING

Public kick-off meeting @ UVI Great Hall -February 28, 2024

MARCH CHARRETTE

Interviews with stakeholders Great Pond Restoration and Design Charrette @ UVI Medical Simulation Center - Dining Hall March 21, 2024 3:30pm - 8:30pm March 22, 2024 10:00am - 3:00pm

APRIL RESTORATION ACTION PLAN

Incorporate all tech surveys, documents, and stakeholder input to choose engineering design options for creation of Restoration Action Plan (RAP). Thank you for your time.

We welcome your feedback. Please fill out an evaluation form.

